arXiv:0903.0606v1 [math-ph] 3 Mar 2009

Two-dimensional integrable theories with defects and fibre bundles over the circle

E. P. Gueuvoghlanian
Instituto de Física Teórica, UNESP,
Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.
e-mail:gueuvo@ift.unesp.br

Abstract

A procedure is described to associate fibre bundles over the circle to two-dimensional theories with defects which have their field equations and defects described by a zero curvature condition.

1 Introduction

The lagrangian formulation of two-dimensional integrable theories with certain discontinuites called defects has been the subject of some works in the last years. The theories analyzed include the cases of the Liouville, sine-Gordon and abelian affine Toda theories [1]-[2], the nonlinear Schrödinger theory [3], the complex sine-Gordon theory [4] and supersymmetric extensions of the sine-Gordon theory [5]-[6].

In the section 2 we review the Liouville theory with defect. In the section 3 we consider general theories with defects admiting a zero curvature description for their field equations and defects. We show that these field theories define in a natural way fibre bundles over the circle in such a way that theories in the absence of the defect correspond to trivial fibre bundles. In the appendixes A and B two calculations of the section 2 are given.

2 The Liouville theory with defect

The Liouville theory is an abelian conformal Toda theory. Its field equation is given in terms of a zero curvature condition

$$\partial\bar{A}-\bar{\partial}A+[A,\bar{A}]=0, \tag{2. 1}$$

where

$$A=B\varepsilon^{-}B^{-1}, \tag{2. 2}$$

$$\bar{A}=-\varepsilon^{+}-\bar{\partial}BB^{-1}, \tag{2. 3}$$

where $z \equiv t + x$, $\bar{z} \equiv t - x$, $\partial \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = (1/2)(\partial_t + \partial_x)$, $\bar{\partial} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = (1/2)(\partial_t - \partial_x)$, $A \equiv A_z = (1/2)(A_t + A_x)$, $\bar{A} \equiv A_{\bar{z}} = (1/2)(A_t - A_x)$, $B \equiv \exp(\phi h)$, $\varepsilon^+ \equiv \mu E_\alpha$, $\varepsilon^- \equiv \mu E_{-\alpha}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(h, E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha})$ are the Chevalley generators of the Lie algebra A_1:

$$[h, E_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2E_{\pm\alpha} \quad \text{and} \tag{2. 4}$$

$$[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = h. \tag{2. 5}$$

The field equation is

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\phi = \mu^2 e^{-2\phi}. \tag{2. 6}$$

(A parametrization $B \equiv \exp(-\phi h)$, $\varepsilon^+ \equiv \mu E_\alpha$ and $\varepsilon^- \equiv -\mu E_{-\alpha}$ would result in the equation $\partial\bar{\partial}\phi = \mu^2 e^{2\phi}$.)

There is a standard procedure [7] to obtain the lagrangian of the Toda theories using their relation to the Wess-Zumino-Witten theory. The lagrangian of the Liouville theory is

$$L = -\frac{k}{2\pi}\partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + \frac{k\mu^2}{2\pi}e^{-2\phi}, \tag{2. 7}$$

where k is constant. The theory defined over all the real line $\mathbb{R}$, that is, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, in the absence of the defect, is denominated the theory in the bulk. The lagrangian of the Liouville theory with defect is given by

$$L = \theta(-x)L_1 + \theta(x)L_2 + \delta(x)L_D \tag{2. 8}$$

where

$$L_p = -\frac{k}{2\pi}\partial\phi_p\bar{\partial}\phi_p + \frac{k\mu^2}{2\pi}e^{-2\phi_p}, \tag{2. 9}$$

$$L_D = \frac{k}{8\pi}(\phi_2\partial_t\phi_1 - \phi_1\partial_t\phi_2) + B(\phi_1, \phi_2), \tag{2. 10}$$

$p \in \{1, 2\}$, δ is the Dirac delta function, θ is the step function, $(d\theta(x)/dx) = \delta(x)$, with the choice $\theta(0) = 0$, that is, $\theta(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ and $\theta(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$. Note that this choice implies that

$$\int_0^a \delta(x)dx = \int_0^a \frac{d\theta(x)}{dx}dx = 1 = -\int_a^0 \delta(y)dy = \int_{-a}^0 \delta(-x)dx, \tag{2. 11}$$

$$\int_{-a}^0 \delta(x)dx = \int_{-a}^0 \frac{d\theta(x)}{dx}dx = 0 = -\int_0^{-a} \delta(y)dy = \int_0^a \delta(-x)dx, \tag{2. 12}$$

$\forall a > 0$. The functional $B(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ is called the border function and it depends on the fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 but it does not depend on any of their derivatives. The border function is going to be determinated by an argument related to the conservation of a modified momentum assigned to the theory. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi_1} &= -\frac{k\mu^2}{\pi}\theta(-x)e^{-2\phi_1} + \delta(x)\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi_1} - \frac{k}{8\pi}\partial_t\phi_2\right), \qquad (2.\ 13)\\
\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi_2} &= -\frac{k\mu^2}{\pi}\theta(x)e^{-2\phi_2} + \delta(x)\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi_2} + \frac{k}{8\pi}\partial_t\phi_1\right), \qquad (2.\ 14)\\
\partial_\mu\frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi_1)} &= -\frac{k}{4\pi}\theta(-x)(\partial_t^2-\partial_x^2)\phi_1 - \frac{k}{4\pi}\delta(-x)\partial_x\phi_1 + \frac{k}{8\pi}\delta(x)\partial_t\phi_2, \qquad (2.\ 15)\\
\partial_\mu\frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi_2)} &= -\frac{k}{4\pi}\theta(x)(\partial_t^2-\partial_x^2)\phi_2 - \frac{k}{8\pi}\delta(x)\partial_t\phi_1 + \frac{k}{4\pi}\delta(x)\partial_x\phi_2. \qquad (2.\ 16)
\end{aligned}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi_p} = \partial_\mu\left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi_p)}\right), \qquad (2.\ 17)$$

$p \in \{1, 2\}$, are equivalent to:

a) If $x > 0$:

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_2 = \mu^2 e^{-2\phi_2}. \qquad (2.\ 18)$$

b) If $x < 0$:

$$\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_1 = \mu^2 e^{-2\phi_1}. \qquad (2.\ 19)$$

c) If $x = 0$:

$$\partial_t\phi_2 - \partial_x\phi_1 = \frac{4\pi}{k}\frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi_1} \quad \text{and} \qquad (2.\ 20)$$

$$\partial_x\phi_2 - \partial_t\phi_1 = \frac{4\pi}{k}\frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi_2}. \qquad (2.\ 21)$$

The equations (2. 20) and (2. 21) are called the defect conditions. Note that we do not have a condition $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ at $x = 0$.

The energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{p=1}^{2} g^{\nu\gamma}\frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_\mu \phi_p)}\partial_\gamma\phi_p - g^{\mu\nu}L \qquad (2.\ 22)$$

where $g_{00} = g^{00} = 1$, $g_{11} = g^{11} = -1$, $g_{01} = g_{10} = g^{01} = g^{10} = 0$ and $(t, x) = (x^0, x^1)$. Thus

$$P = \int_{-\infty}^{0} T^{01}dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} T^{01}dx = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial x}dx + \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial x}dx. \qquad (2.\ 23)$$

The time derivative of P is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dP}{dt} \equiv \frac{dP^{(1)}}{dt} + \frac{dP^{(2)}}{dt} &= \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}[(\partial_t\partial_x\phi_1)\partial_t\phi_1 + (\partial_t^2\phi_1)\partial_x\phi_1]dx\\
&+ \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{\infty}[(\partial_t\partial_x\phi_2)\partial_t\phi_2 + (\partial_t^2\phi_2)\partial_x\phi_2]dx. \qquad (2.\ 24)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2. 19), we have

$$\frac{dP^{(1)}}{dt} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left[(\partial_t\partial_x\phi_1)\partial_t\phi_1 + \partial_x\phi_1\left(\partial_x^2\phi_1 + \frac{4\pi}{k}\frac{\delta V_1}{\delta\phi_1}\right)\right]dx, \tag{2. 25}$$

where

$$V_p \equiv -\frac{k\mu^2}{2\pi}e^{-2\phi_p}, \tag{2. 26}$$

$p \in \{1, 2\}$. Then

$$\frac{dP^{(1)}}{dt} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\partial_x\left[\frac{(\partial_t\phi_1)^2}{2} + \frac{(\partial_x\phi_1)^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{k}V_1\right]dx. \tag{2. 27}$$

The other term in (2. 24) can be treated in a similar way. Thus

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{k}{4\pi}\left[\frac{(\partial_t\phi_1)^2}{2} + \frac{(\partial_x\phi_1)^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{k}V_1\right]\Big|_{-\infty}^{0} + \frac{k}{4\pi}\left[\frac{(\partial_t\phi_2)^2}{2} + \frac{(\partial_x\phi_2)^2}{2} + \frac{4\pi}{k}V_2\right]\Big|_{0}^{\infty}. \tag{2. 28}$$

The kind of terms at ±∞ are already present in theory defined in the bulk (in the absence of the defect) and we are going to ignore them. Considering only the contribution from $x = 0$ and using the expressions for $\partial_x\phi_1$ and $\partial_x\phi_2$ from (2. 20) and (2. 21), we have

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \left[-\partial_t\phi_2\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_1} - \partial_t\phi_1\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_2} + \frac{2\pi}{k}\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_1}\right)^2 - \frac{2\pi}{k}\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_2}\right)^2 + V_1 - V_2\right]\Big|_{x=0}. \tag{2. 29}$$

If

$$\left[\frac{2\pi}{k}\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_1}\right)^2 - \frac{2\pi}{k}\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_2}\right)^2 + V_1 - V_2\right] = 0, \tag{2. 30}$$

$$\frac{\delta B(\phi_1,\phi_2)}{\delta\phi_1} = \frac{\delta M(\phi_1,\phi_2)}{\delta\phi_2}, \tag{2. 31}$$

$$\frac{\delta B(\phi_1,\phi_2)}{\delta\phi_2} = \frac{\delta M(\phi_1,\phi_2)}{\delta\phi_1}, \tag{2. 32}$$

for some functional M, in such a way that

$$\frac{\delta^2 B}{\delta\phi_1^2} = \frac{\delta^2 B}{\delta\phi_2^2}, \tag{2. 33}$$

then

$$\left(\frac{dP}{dt}\right)\Big|_t = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[M(t,x)\right]\right)\Big|_{(t,x=0)} = -\left(\frac{d}{dt}\left[M(t,x=0)\right]\right)\Big|_t \tag{2. 34}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[P+M(t,x=0)\right]=0. \tag{2. 35}$$

Thus the modified momentum $P+M(t,x=0)$ is conserved provided we have the relations (2. 30)-(2. 33).

The energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} T^{00}dx+\int_{0}^{\infty} T^{00}dx \\ &= \frac{k}{8\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left[\frac{8\pi}{k}V_1-(\partial_t\phi_1)^2-(\partial_x\phi_1)^2\right]dx \\ &+ \frac{k}{8\pi}\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{8\pi}{k}V_2-(\partial_t\phi_2)^2-(\partial_x\phi_2)^2\right]dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2. 36}$$

Using (2. 18) and (2. 19) the time derivative of E can be evaluated as

$$\frac{dE}{dt}=-\frac{k}{4\pi}(\partial_t\phi_1\partial_x\phi_1)|_{-\infty}^{0}-\frac{k}{4\pi}(\partial_t\phi_2\partial_x\phi_2)|_{0}^{\infty}. \tag{2. 37}$$

Ignoring the terms at $\pm\infty$ and using the expressions for $\partial_x\phi_1$ and $\partial_x\phi_2$ from (2. 20) and (2. 21), we have:

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dt}\right)|_t=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[B(t,x)\right]\right)|_{(t,x=0)}=\left(\frac{d}{dt}\left[B(t,x=0)\right]\right)|_t \tag{2. 38}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[E-B(t,x=0)\right]=0. \tag{2. 39}$$

Thus the modified energy $E-B(t,x=0)$ is conserved. Note that we do not need the expressions (2. 30)-(2. 33) to get (2. 39). We introduce $\phi^+\equiv\phi_1+\phi_2$ and $\phi^-\equiv\phi_1-\phi_2$. Then

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^+} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_1}+\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_2}\right) \quad \text{and} \tag{2. 40}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^-} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_1}-\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_2}\right). \tag{2. 41}$$

If we write

$$B(\phi_1,\phi_2)\equiv B^+(\phi^+)+B^-(\phi^-), \tag{2. 42}$$

we see that (2. 31)-(2. 32) is solved by

$$M=B^+-B^-. \tag{2. 43}$$

Using (2. 40)-(2. 42), we see that the equation (2. 30) is equivalent to

$$2\frac{\delta B^+}{\delta\phi^+}\frac{\delta B^-}{\delta\phi^-}=-\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^2\mu^2e^{-\phi^+}\sinh(\phi^-). \tag{2. 44}$$

This equation is solved by

$$B^+ = \frac{k}{2\pi}\mu\lambda e^{-\phi^+} \quad \text{and} \tag{2. 45}$$

$$B^- = \frac{1}{2}\frac{k}{2\pi}\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\cosh\phi^-, \tag{2. 46}$$

where λ is an arbitrary constant.

The defect conditions, given by the equations (2. 20)-(2. 21), are equivalent to

$$\partial(\phi_1 - \phi_2)|_{x=0} = 2\mu\lambda e^{-\phi^+}|_{x=0} \quad \text{and} \tag{2. 47}$$

$$\bar{\partial}(\phi_1 + \phi_2)|_{x=0} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\sinh(\phi^-)|_{x=0}. \tag{2. 48}$$

Suppose that the equations (2. 47) and (2. 48) hold not only at $(t, x = 0)$ but at all (t, x). Then taking the $\bar{\partial}$ derivative of (2. 47) and using (2. 48), results

$$\bar{\partial}\partial(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = \mu^2(e^{-2\phi_1} - e^{-2\phi_2}). \tag{2. 49}$$

Similarly, taking the ∂ derivative of (2. 48) and using (2. 47), results

$$\bar{\partial}\partial(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \mu^2(e^{-2\phi_1} + e^{-2\phi_2}). \tag{2. 50}$$

We see that (2. 49) and (2. 50) imply that (ϕ_1 is a solution of the Liouville equation) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ϕ_2 is a solution of the Liouville equation). That is, if the equations (2. 47) and (2. 48) were valid at all the points (t, x) they would be Bäcklund transformations. As (2. 47) and (2. 48) are supposed to hold only at the point $(t, x = 0)$, they are said to be frozen Bäcklund transformations.

From (2. 2) and (2. 3) we can obtain A_t and A_x. It is convenient to us to apply a gauge transformation to (A_t, A_x) with a group element given by $g = e^{\frac{\phi h}{2}}$ to obtain (A_t^g, A_x^g). To simplify our notation we are just going to write (A_t, A_x) instead (A_t^g, A_x^g):

$$A_t = -\mu e^{-\phi}E_\alpha + \mu e^{-\phi}E_{-\alpha} + \frac{\partial_x\phi h}{2} \quad \text{and} \tag{2. 51}$$

$$A_x = \mu e^{-\phi}E_\alpha + \mu e^{-\phi}E_{-\alpha} + \frac{\partial_t\phi h}{2}. \tag{2. 52}$$

As the curvature transforms as $F_{tx}^g = gF_{tx}g^{-1}$, we see that $(F_{tx}^g = 0) \Longleftrightarrow (F_{tx} = 0)$. That is, the zero curvature condition

$$\partial_t A_x - \partial_x A_t + [A_t, A_x] = 0 \tag{2. 53}$$

is equivalent to the Liouville equation (2. 6), where A_t and A_x are given by (2. 51) and (2. 52).

Now we want to describe a procedure [1] to obtain the field equations (2. 18) - (2. 19) and the defect conditions (2. 20) - (2. 21) as a zero curvature condition in the following sense: Define

$$\begin{aligned} A_t^{(p)} &\equiv -\mu e^{-\phi_p} E_\alpha + \mu e^{-\phi_p} E_{-\alpha} + \frac{\partial_x \phi_p h}{2}, && (2.\ 54) \\ A_x^{(p)} &\equiv \mu e^{-\phi_p} E_\alpha + \mu e^{-\phi_p} E_{-\alpha} + \frac{\partial_t \phi_p h}{2}, && (2.\ 55) \\ D_1 &\equiv \partial_x \phi_1 - \partial_t \phi_2 + \frac{4\pi}{k} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi_1} \ \text{ and} && (2.\ 56) \\ D_2 &\equiv \partial_x \phi_2 - \partial_t \phi_1 - \frac{4\pi}{k} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi_2}, && (2.\ 57) \end{aligned}$$

$p \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that $D_1 = 0$ and $D_2 = 0$ are equivalent to (2. 20) and (2. 21).

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < 0 < b$. Define

a) $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x < b$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{A}_t^{(1)} \equiv [\theta(x-a) + \theta(a-x)] A_t^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2}\theta(x-a) D_1 h \ \text{ and} && (2.\ 58) \\ &\hat{A}_x^{(1)} \equiv \theta(a-x) A_x^{(1)}. && (2.\ 59) \end{aligned}$$

b) $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x > a$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{A}_t^{(2)} \equiv [\theta(x-b) + \theta(b-x)] A_t^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\theta(b-x) D_2 h \ \text{ and} && (2.\ 60) \\ &\hat{A}_x^{(2)} \equiv \theta(x-b) A_x^{(2)}. && (2.\ 61) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \hat{A}_x^{(1)} - \partial_x \hat{A}_t^{(1)} + [\hat{A}_t^{(1)}, \hat{A}_x^{(1)}] = 0 \ \text{ and} && (2.\ 62) \\ &\partial_t \hat{A}_x^{(2)} - \partial_x \hat{A}_t^{(2)} + [\hat{A}_t^{(2)}, \hat{A}_x^{(2)}] = 0. && (2.\ 63) \end{aligned}$$

One can verify, as explained in the appendix A, that:

a) The equation (2. 62) implies for $x < a$ in the equation (2. 53) calculated at $(A_t, A_x) = (A_t^{(1)}, A_x^{(1)})$ and this is equivalent to (2. 19).

b) The equation (2. 63) implies for $x > b$ in the equation (2. 53) calculated at $(A_t, A_x) = (A_t^{(2)}, A_x^{(2)})$ and this is equivalent to (2. 18).

c) The equation (2. 62) implies for $x = a$ in $D_1 = 0$ and this is equivalent to (2. 20).

d) The equation (2. 63) implies for $x = b$ in $D_2 = 0$ and this is equivalent to (2. 21).

e) In the intersection $a < x < b$, the equations (2. 62) and (2. 63) imply that

$$\partial_x \phi_1 = \partial_x \phi_2 = 0. \qquad (2.\ 64)$$

The idea is that we can take $|a|$ and b so small as we want and in this case the conditions a) to d) would correspond to the field equations and defect conditions as expressed in (2. 18) - (2. 21).

Note that the intersection of the domains in which $(\hat{A}_t^{(1)}, \hat{A}_x^{(1)})$ and $(\hat{A}_t^{(2)}, \hat{A}_x^{(2)})$ are defined is $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $a < x < b$. Suppose that in this intersection the two connections are related by a gauge transformation [1]. In this case, (2. 62) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2. 63). Note that in the intersection $a < x < b$ we have $\hat{A}_x^{(1)} = \hat{A}_x^{(2)} = 0$. Then the group element g that gives the gauge transformation must be x-independent. We need to find g such that

$$\hat{A}_t^{(1)} = g\hat{A}_t^{(2)}g^{-1} - (\partial_t g)g^{-1}. \tag{2. 65}$$

We can verify, as explained in the appendix B, that

$$g = e^{-\frac{\phi_2 h}{2}} e^{2\lambda E_\alpha} e^{\frac{\phi_1 h}{2}} \tag{2. 66}$$

where λ is the constant introduced in the equations (2. 45) - (2. 46). Note that g is x-independent as a consequence of (2. 64) but it can be t-dependent.

3 Two-dimensional integrable theories with defects and fibre bundles over the circle

In this section we consider general theories which have the following structure: their lagrangians have the form

$$L = \theta(-x)L_1 + \theta(x)L_2 + \delta(x)L_D. \tag{3. 1}$$

The field equations and defect conditions corresponding to (3. 1) can be expressed as a zero curvature condition associated to two sets of gauge potentials. That is, it is possible to define

a)$\hat{A}_t^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}_x^{(1)}$, $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x < b$;

b)$\hat{A}_t^{(2)}$ and $\hat{A}_x^{(2)}$, $\forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x > a$; where $a < 0 < b$, such that

$$\partial_t \hat{A}_x^{(1)} - \partial_x \hat{A}_t^{(1)} + [\hat{A}_t^{(1)}, \hat{A}_x^{(1)}] = 0 \quad \text{and} \tag{3. 2}$$

$$\partial_t \hat{A}_x^{(2)} - \partial_x \hat{A}_t^{(2)} + [\hat{A}_t^{(2)}, \hat{A}_x^{(2)}] = 0 \tag{3. 3}$$

correspond to the field equations (for $x < a$ and $x > b$) and defect conditions (for $x = a$ and $x = b$) in an analogous way to that described in the section 2 in the case of the Liouville theory with defect. In the intersection, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $a < x < b$, (3. 2) and (3. 3) imply that the fields of the theory obey some additional set of equations, as (2. 64) in the case of the Liouville theory with defect. We suppose that in this intersection the two sets of gauge potentials are related by a gauge transformation

$$\hat{A}_t^{(1)} = g\hat{A}_t^{(2)}g^{-1} - (\partial_t g)g^{-1}, \tag{3. 4}$$

$$\hat{A}_x^{(1)} = g\hat{A}_x^{(2)}g^{-1} - (\partial_x g)g^{-1}, \tag{3. 5}$$

where g is a group element.

That is the situation we are proposing to analyze. The key role is played by the group element g. We suppose that the defect is placed at $x = 0$, but this can be generalized easely. As a and b are arbitrary, to simplify our discussion, we are going to take $|a| = b = r > 0$.

Note that in the Liouville theory with defect we were in fact dealing with the Minkowski Mk^2 space- see (2. 22) -. That is, the differentiable manifold $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the metric tensor $g^{metric} = dt \otimes dt - dx \otimes dx$ (in global coordinates) and a Levi-Civita connection. In this section we consider the differentiable manifold $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the constant metric tensor given by the general expression $g^{metric} = \epsilon_t dt \otimes dt + \epsilon_x dx \otimes dx$, where $\epsilon_t = \pm 1$ and $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$ (in global coordinates) and a Levi-Civita connection. The Euclidean space E^2 corresponds to $\epsilon_t = 1$ and $\epsilon_x = 1$.

Now we are going to review the definition of fibre bundles. Then we are going to see how a fibre bundle can be constructed from a minimal information and apply that process to our case.

A coordinate bundle [8] $(E, \pi, M, F, G, \{U_i\}, \{\phi_i\})$ consists of the following elements:

a) A differentiable manifold E called the total space; a differentiable manifold M called the base space; a differentiable manifold F called the fibre.

b) A surjective map $\pi : E \to M$ called the projection. The inverse image $\pi^{-1}\{p\} \equiv F_p$ is called the fibre at p, $\forall p \in M$.

c) A Lie group G called the structure group, which acts on F on the left. That is, there is a differentiable map $T : G \times F \to F$ such that $T(g_1, T(g_2, f)) = T(g_1 g_2, f)$ and $T(e, f) = f$, $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G$, $\forall f \in F$, where e is the identity element of G. (Note that a Lie group is, by definition, a differentiable manifold.) We simplify the notation writting $T(g, f) \equiv gf$.

d) A set $\{U_i\}$ which is an open covering of M ($\bigcup_i U_i = M$) and a set $\{\phi_i\}$, where each ϕ_i is a diffeomorphism $\phi_i : U_i \times F \to \pi^{-1}(U_i)$ such that $\pi\phi_i(p, f) = p$, $\forall p \in U_i$, $\forall f \in F$. The map ϕ_i is called the local trivialisation.

e) We define $\phi_{i,p}(f) \equiv \phi_i(p, f)$, $\forall p \in U_i$, $\forall f \in F$. Then the map $\phi_{i,p} : F \to F_p$ is a diffeomorphism. If $U_i \bigcap U_j \neq \emptyset$, we require that $t_{ij}(p) \equiv \phi_{i,p}^{-1}\phi_{j,p} : F \to F$ be an element of G. Then ϕ_i and ϕ_j are related by a C^∞ differentiable map $t_{ij} : U_i \bigcap U_j \to G$ as:

$$\phi_j(p, f_j) = \phi_i(p, t_{ij}(p) f_j). \tag{3. 6}$$

$\{t_{ij}\}$ are denominated the transition functions.

Two coordinate bundles $(E, \pi, M, F, G, \{U_i\}, \{\phi_i\})$ and $(E, \pi, M, F, G, \{V_i\}, \{\psi_i\})$ are said to be equivalent if

$(E, \pi, M, F, G, \{U_i\} \bigcup \{V_i\}, \{\phi_i\} \bigcup \{\psi_i\})$ is again a coordinate bundle. The fibre bundle is defined as an equivalence class of coordinate bundles and is usually denoted by (E, π, M, F, G).

We require the consistency conditions:

a) $\forall p \in U_i$

$$t_{ii}(p) = e. \tag{3. 7}$$

b) $\forall p \in U_i \bigcap U_j$

$$t_{ij}(p) = [t_{ji}(p)]^{-1}. \tag{3. 8}$$

c) $\forall p \in U_i \bigcap U_j \bigcap U_k$

$$t_{ij}(p) t_{jk}(p) = t_{ik}(p). \tag{3. 9}$$

If all the transition functions can be taken to be identity maps, the fibre bundle is called trivial. A trivial bundle is a product manifold $M \times F$.

The minimal information to construct a fiber bundle [8] is given by $\{M, F, G, \{U_i\}, \{t_{ij}\}\}$, where M and F are differentiable manifolds, G is a Lie group acting on F by the left, $\{U_i\}$ is an open covering of M and the set $\{t_{ij}\}$ is such that each $t_{ij} : U_i \bigcap U_j \to G$ is a C^∞ differentiable map satisfying (3. 7)-(3. 9).

We define

$$E_0 = \bigcup_i U_i \times F \tag{3. 10}$$

and introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ between $(p, f) \in U_i \times F$ and $(q, f^{'}) \in U_j \times F$ if and only if $p = q$ and $f^{'} = t_{ji}(p) f$.

The total space is defined by

$$E \equiv E_0 / \sim . \tag{3. 11}$$

Let us denote the equivalence class of (p, f) by $[(p, f)]$. Then $[(p, f)] \in E$. The projection is given by

$$\pi : [(p, f)] \to p \tag{3. 12}$$

and the local trivialisation is given by

$$\phi_i : (p, f) \to [(p, f)]. \tag{3. 13}$$

It is possible to verify that this construction defines [8] a coordinate bundle. Then the fibre bundle is the equivalence class containing this coordinate bundle.

Now let us apply this process to our case. We need to specify $M, F, G, \{U_i\}, \{t_{ij}\}$. We take F as the Lie group itself. This corresponds to consider a principal fibre bundle. Of course, having a principal fibre bundle, one can construct its associated vector bundles [8].

Let the set $S^1(r)$ be defined by

$$S^1(r) \equiv \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | t^2 + x^2 = r^2\}, \tag{3. 14}$$

where $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$. We want to make $S^1(r)$ a topological space. The open ball of radius R ($R \in \mathbb{R}$, $R > 0$) and center $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined as

$$B^R(t_0, x_0) \equiv \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | (t - t_0)^2 + (x - x_0)^2 < R^2\}. \qquad (3.\ 15)$$

The usual topology of $\mathbb{R}^2$ is the one such that $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is open if and only if, $\forall p \in V$, $\exists B^R(p) \subset V$ for some radius $R > 0$. Note that, in particular, $B^R(p)$ and $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{p\}$ are open sets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\forall R > 0$. We give to $S^1(r)$ the topology induced by $\mathbb{R}^2$. That is, $U \subseteq S^1(r)$ is open if and only if $U = S^1(r) \bigcap V$, where V is some open set of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Note that, in particular, $S^1(r) - \{p\}$ is an open set of $S^1(r)$, $\forall p \in S^1(r)$, because

$$S^1(r) - \{p\} = S^1(r) \bigcap \{\mathbb{R}^2 - \{p\}\}. \qquad (3.\ 16)$$

It is possible to give to the topological space $S^1(r)$ a differentiable structure in such a way it becomes a differentiable manifold [8]. We take M as the differentiable manifold $S^1(r)$.

We need to specify an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of $S^1(r)$. We define

$$U_1 \equiv S^1(r) - \{(t, x) = (0, -r)\} \text{ and} \qquad (3.\ 17)$$

$$U_2 \equiv S^1(r) - \{(t, x) = (0, r)\}. \qquad (3.\ 18)$$

Note that $(t, x) = (0, -r) \in S^1(r)$ and $(t, x) = (0, r) \in S^1(r)$. Then $S^1(r) = U_1 \bigcup U_2$. Note that

$$U_1 \bigcap U_2 = A \bigcup B \qquad (3.\ 19)$$

where

$$A \equiv \{(t, x) \in S^1(r) | t > 0\}, \qquad (3.\ 20)$$

$$B \equiv \{(t, x) \in S^1(r) | t < 0\} \text{ and} \qquad (3.\ 21)$$

$$A \bigcap B = \emptyset. \qquad (3.\ 22)$$

We need to specify $\{t_{ij}\}$. As our open covering has only two open sets, we need to specify C^∞ differentiable maps $t_{12} : U_1 \bigcap U_2 \to G$, $t_{21} : U_1 \bigcap U_2 \to G$ and we do not need the equation (3. 9). We take

$$t_{21}(p) \equiv [t_{12}(p)]^{-1}, \qquad (3.\ 23)$$

in order to satisfy (3. 8).

As we said before, we are taking $|a| = b = r > 0$. Let

$$I = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | -r < x < r\}. \qquad (3.\ 24)$$

Then the group element g in the equations (3. 4) and (3. 5) defines a map $g : I \to G$. Note that

$$U_1 \bigcap U_2 = A \bigcup B \subset I. \qquad (3.\ 25)$$

Now consider the restriction of g to $A\bigcup B$. If $g : A\bigcup B \to G$ is a C^∞ differentiable map, we can define $t_{12} : U_1\bigcap U_2 \to G$ by

$$t_{12}(p) \equiv g(p), \tag{3. 26}$$

$\forall p \in U_1\bigcap U_2 = A\bigcup B$.

By last, suppose we have a theory without defect such that its field equations are expressed as a zero curvature condition in terms of the gauge potentials A_t and A_x. We can define

a)$\forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x < b$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_t^{(1)}(t,x) &= A_t(t,x) \ \text{ and} \qquad (3.\ 27)\\ \hat{A}_x^{(1)}(t,x) &= A_x(t,x). \qquad (3.\ 28)\end{aligned}$$

b)$\forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x > a$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_t^{(2)}(t,x) &= A_t(t,x) \ \text{ and} \qquad (3.\ 29)\\ \hat{A}_x^{(2)}(t,x) &= A_x(t,x). \qquad (3.\ 30)\end{aligned}$$

Where $a < 0 < b$. Then (3. 2) and (3. 3) correspond to the field equations without defect and in the intersection $\{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | a < x < b\}$ the gauge potentials are related by a gauge transformation (3. 4) and (3. 5) where g is the identity element of G. It follows from (3. 26) that all the transition functions are the identity element. Thus we have a trivial fibre bundle.

4 Appendix A

Using (2. 58) and (2. 59) we see that, $\forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x < b$, (2. 62) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta(a-x)\partial_t A_x^{(1)} - [\delta(x-a)-\delta(a-x)]A_t^{(1)} - [\theta(x-a)+\theta(a-x)]\partial_x A_t^{(1)}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\theta(x-a)\partial_x D_1 h + \frac{1}{2}\delta(x-a)D_1 h - \frac{1}{2}\theta(x-a)\theta(a-x)D_1[h, A_x^{(1)}]\\ &+[\theta(x-a)+\theta(a-x)]\theta(a-x)[A_t^{(1)}, A_x^{(1)}] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4. 1}$$

Similarly, using (2. 60) and (2. 61) we see that, $\forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $x > a$, (2. 63) is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta(x-b)\partial_t A_x^{(2)} - [\delta(x-b)-\delta(b-x)]A_t^{(2)} - [\theta(x-b)+\theta(b-x)]\partial_x A_t^{(2)}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\theta(b-x)\partial_x D_2 h - \frac{1}{2}\delta(b-x)D_2 h - \frac{1}{2}\theta(x-b)\theta(b-x)D_2[h, A_x^{(2)}]\\ &+[\theta(x-b)+\theta(b-x)]\theta(x-b)[A_t^{(2)}, A_x^{(2)}] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4. 2}$$

a) Let $x < a$. Then, from (4. 1),

$$\partial_t \hat{A}_x^{(1)} - \partial_x \hat{A}_t^{(1)} + [\hat{A}_t^{(1)}, \hat{A}_x^{(1)}] = 0. \tag{4. 3}$$

b) Let $x > b$. Then, from (4. 2),

$$\partial_t \hat{A}_x^{(2)} - \partial_x \hat{A}_t^{(2)} + [\hat{A}_t^{(2)}, \hat{A}_x^{(2)}] = 0. \tag{4. 4}$$

c)Let $x = a$. Then, from (4. 1),

$$D_1 = 0. \tag{4. 5}$$

d)Let $x = b$. Then, from (4. 2),

$$D_2 = 0. \tag{4. 6}$$

e)Let $a < x < b$. Then, from (4. 1) and (4. 2),

$$-\partial_x A_t^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x D_1)h = 0 \quad \text{and} \tag{4. 7}$$

$$-\partial_x A_t^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x D_2)h = 0. \tag{4. 8}$$

Using (2. 42), (2. 45), (2. 46) and (2. 54)-(2. 57), we see that (4. 7) and (4. 8) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mu\partial_x\phi_1 e^{-\phi_1} E_\alpha + \mu\partial_x\phi_1 e^{-\phi_1} E_{-\alpha} \\ &+ \left[-\frac{\partial_x\partial_t\phi_2}{2} - \mu\lambda\partial_x(e^{-\phi_1-\phi_2}) + \frac{\mu}{2\lambda}\partial_x[\sinh(\phi_1-\phi_2)] \right] h = 0 \quad \text{and} \qquad (4.\ 9) \\ &-\mu\partial_x\phi_2 e^{-\phi_2} E_\alpha + \mu\partial_x\phi_2 e^{-\phi_2} E_{-\alpha} \\ &+ \left[-\frac{\partial_x\partial_t\phi_1}{2} + \mu\lambda\partial_x(e^{-\phi_1-\phi_2}) + \frac{\mu}{2\lambda}\partial_x[\sinh(\phi_1-\phi_2)] \right] h = 0. \qquad (4.\ 10) \end{aligned}$$

From (4. 9) and (4. 10), we see that

$$\partial_x\phi_1 = \partial_x\phi_2 = 0. \tag{4. 11}$$

5 Appendix B

We want to find the group element g in such a way to satisfy the equation (2. 65). In order to get an expression which has no derivatives we write

$$g = e^{-\frac{\phi_2 h}{2}} g_c e^{\frac{\phi_1 h}{2}}, \tag{5. 1}$$

where g_c is a group element which is t-independent and x-independent. After some manipulation, we find that (2. 65) is equivalent to

$$-(\mu e^{\phi_1-\phi_2})g_c E_\alpha g_c^{-1} - (\mu e^{-\phi_1-\phi_2})E_{-\alpha} + (\mu e^{-\phi_1-\phi_2})g_c E_{-\alpha} g_c^{-1}$$
$$+(\mu e^{-\phi_1+\phi_2})E_\alpha + \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_2}\right) g_c h g_c^{-1} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)\left(\frac{\delta B}{\delta\phi_1}\right) h = 0. \quad (5.\ 2)$$

We try a Gauss decomposition to g_c:

$$g_c = e^{\lambda_1 E_\alpha} e^{\lambda_2 h} e^{\lambda_3 E_{-\alpha}}, \quad (5.\ 3)$$

where λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are constants. Then, we find that

$$g_c E_{-\alpha} g_c^{-1} = e^{-2\lambda_2}(E_{-\alpha} + \lambda_1 h - \lambda_1^2 E_\alpha), \quad (5.\ 4)$$
$$g_c h g_c^{-1} = h - 2\lambda_1 E_\alpha + 2\lambda_3 e^{-2\lambda_2}(E_{-\alpha} + \lambda_1 h - \lambda_1^2 E_\alpha) \text{ and} \quad (5.\ 5)$$
$$g_c E_\alpha g_c^{-1} = e^{2\lambda_2} E_\alpha - \lambda_3(h - 2\lambda_1 E_\alpha) - \lambda_3^2 e^{-2\lambda_2}(E_{-\alpha} + \lambda_1 h - \lambda_1^2 E_\alpha). \quad (5.\ 6)$$

Now, as (5. 2) has terms proportional to E_α, $E_{-\alpha}$ and h, we have three independent equations. By (2. 42), (2. 45) and (2. 46), we see that each one of these equations has terms that are proportional to $e^{\phi_1-\phi_2}$, $e^{-\phi_1+\phi_2}$ and $e^{-\phi_1-\phi_2}$. Thus, we have nine equations for the three variables λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3. They can be solved and the result is

$$\lambda_1 = 2\lambda \text{ and} \quad (5.\ 7)$$
$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0, \quad (5.\ 8)$$

where λ is the constant introduced in the equations (2. 45) and (2. 46).

References

[1] Bowcock P., Corrigan E. and Zambon C., *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, **19** (supplement), 82, 2004.

[2] Bowcock P., Corrigan E. and Zambon C., *JHEP*, **0401**, 56, 2004.

[3] Corrigan E. and Zambon C., *Nonlinearity*, **19**, 1447, 2006.

[4] Bowcock P. and Umpleby J. M., *JHEP*, **0901**, 8, 2009.

[5] Gomes J. F., Ymai L. H. and Zimerman A. H., *J. Phys. A*, **39**, 7471, 2006.

[6] Gomes J. F., Ymai L. H. and Zimerman A. H., *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, **128**, 012004, 2008.

[7] Gomes J. F., Sotkov G. M. and Zimerman A. H., *Ann. Phys.*, **274**, 289, 1999.

[8] Nakahara M., *Geometry, Topology and Physics*, second edition, Taylor and Francis, 2003.